IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMAN 24 PM 3: 05

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

ERIC ROBERT RUDOLPH,

Defendant.

ENTERED

MAY 2 6 20

Case No. CR-00-S-422-S

DENIED - DOC. 22

N.D. OF ALABAMA

J.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEFENDANT'S REQUEST TO SEAL SUBPLEMAS

In ruling on the Government's Motion to Quash three subpoenas duces tecum, the Court directed that the three subpoenas and the briefs of the parties regarding the Motion to Quash be unsealed on Tuesday, May 25, 2004. See Doc. 223. However, the Court left open the question of whether the subpoenas should be sealed from the public. Without waiving any objection previously made and with regard to the Order granting access, the defendant requests that the Court continue to seal the subpoenas from the public while permitting the "government" access to the subpoenas in much the same way and for similar reasons as the Court ordered release to the government of the *in camera* submission of the defense in connection with the laboratory related discovery motion. See Doc. 225 ("The Government may obtain from the Clerks a copy of the *in camera* submission . . . Otherwise the submission shall remain sealed pending further order.").²

227

See Doc. 223, fn. 4 at page 6.

The Court has previously ordered that documents received pursuant to defense ex parte applications be placed under seal when provided to the Court. See Order, 4/2/04 (granting defendant's request to file responses to subpoenas ex parte and under seal).